


Vol. 8. No.4. 2019.

©Copyright by CRDEEP Journals. All Rights Reserved.

Contents available at:

www.crdeejournal.org

International Journal of Life Sciences (ISSN: 2277-193x) SJIF: 5.79

**Full Length Research Paper****Chemical Study of various Blueberry varieties introduced in Georgia****Meri Khakhutaishvili¹; Indira Djaparidze²; Maia Vanidze³ and Aleko Kalandia⁴**¹PhD student, Chemistry Department Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Georgia.²Assistant Professor, Chemistry Department, Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Georgia.³Associate Professor, Chemistry Department, Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Georgia.⁴Associate Professor, Chemistry Department, Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Georgia.**ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author:

Meri Khakhutaishvili

Article history:

Received: 18-07-2019

Accepted: 21-07-2019

Revised: 28-07-2019

Published: 31-07-2019

Key words:

Blueberry, antioxidant, common phenols.

ABSTRACT

Georgia is a small country, so it is desirable to grow profitable plants here that can easily adapt to environmental conditions. Such a plant is a blueberry. We have analyzed and studied the technical, biochemical and chemical indicators of 16 varieties of blueberries imported into western Georgia. The influence of environmental conditions and storage conditions on the organoleptic, technical, physico-chemical composition and antioxidant activity has been determined. Separation-identification and quantitative analysis were conducted using UPLC-MS (Waters Acquity QDa detector), HPLC (Waters Brceze 1525, UV-Vis 2489 detectors), pH-meters (Mettler Toledo). Refractrometer -Misco, Spectrometer -Cuvette Changer (Mettler Toledo UV5A), C18 Cartridge Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) Waters Sep-Pak C18 (500 mg). Antioxidant activity was calculated by the DPPH method. The largest amount of carbohydrates was observed in Duke (110.25 g / kg) and Sunrise (104 g / kg), while Brigittarey had the smallest amount (75.1). The largest amount of anthocyanins was collected in Brigittarey (564.37 mg / 100g) and Bluegold (463 mg / 100g), while Reka was characterized by the smallest number (142.2 mg / 100 c). It is noteworthy, that after the shocking freezing, the chemical compounds and the antioxidant activity of the fetus practically were not changed.

Introduction

Blueberry (*Vaccinium spp.*) belongs to the Ericaceae family, which includes about 450 varieties. Together, with other berries (cranberries, lingonberries) the cultivation of blueberry began in the early XX century, when Frederick V. Coville selectively developed the northern high bush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum L.*) [4]. At the next stage, other blueberry varieties were brought in the United States and Canada [1,5]. However, the northern highbush blueberry is much more common in the US as well as in other countries around the world [1,5,6].

The popularity of blueberry has increased over the last decade. In 1990 the blueberry culture was spread only in 10 countries [2], whereas in commercial terms, it was already common in 27 countries in 2011 [3]. However, according to FAO [2] data, the US produces more than half of the world's blueberry production (more than 200,000 tons) [8]. Canada (average of 93,000 tons) is on the second place after the US, and the third place belongs to Poland (10,600 tons). Blueberry is grown in almost all member states of the EU (68 000 tons) and in some European countries (28 000 tons) [7]. [9]. According to the statement of the USDA, more than 50 varieties of blueberry are used for production. They differ in many agronomic properties. Among them the most important ones are: a harvest time, a fruit size, a productivity, a frost and a disease resistance, a chemical composition and a nutritional value. The nutritional

value of a raw fruit is determined by the content of carbohydrates (9.7%), proteins (0.6%) and fat (0.4%), according to which the average energy value of the 100 g of raw blueberry fetus is 192 KJ. The blueberry fruit is a good source of fiber compounds; it represents 3% -3.5% of the total mass of fruit. Many studies have shown that blueberries contain substances necessary for maintaining health: they have anti-inflammatory properties and contain a significant number of polyphenols that have cardiovascular defective effect [12,13-17]; the content of antioxidant compounds in blueberries reduces the risk of coronary diseases, prevents cholesterol oxidation, thus reducing the risk of atherosclerosis [18].

The total content of polyphenols in blueberry varies between 48 to 304 mg/100 g (up to 0.3%) [19] [20] and is strongly dependent on the variety [21], environmental conditions and ripening stage [22, 23]. Polyphenols in blueberries are represented by flavonoids, procyanidins (monomers and oligomeric forms) [24], flavonoids (kämpferol, quercetin and myricetin) [21], phenolcarbic acid (hydroxyl chloride acid), and stilbenes derivatives [25,26]. In the process of ripening, the synthesis of anthocyanins occurs due to the transformation of individual phenolic compounds, and at the end of the ripening the content of anthocyanins reaches its maximum [27], what significantly determines the biological activity of the blueberry fetus. The amount of anthocyanins in blue blueberry fruits is 25-495 mg/100g, depending on the size of the fruit, the

maturity stage, the climatic conditions and the time of harvest [28].

Among the berries, the blueberry fruit is characterized by different types of anthocyanins [29], such as malvidine, delphinidine, petunidine, cyanidine and peonidine. They occur in the form of glycoside in the fetus, where as glycone is represented by glucose, galactose and arabinose. According to some researches, malvidine and delphinidine are the main components of blueberry fetus and its content is 75% of total anthocyanins [30], while other studies show that the percentage of delphinidine is 27% - 40%, malvidine - 22% -33%, petunidine - 19% -26%, cyanidine - 6% -14% and peonidine - 1% -5% [11].

Blueberries are summer berries and they are gathered from the end of May to August. The harvest of berries on a bush is about 8 and 12 kg. After harvesting, regardless of the gathering method, blueberries are immediately degraded and placed in a cold place to reduce fruit respiration and dehydration [10].

Most of the fruit are intended for consumption in raw form. However, the nutritional (original, sweet-sour taste) and functional (strong antioxidant) value of blueberry increases its popularity, and it becomes attractive for the processing industry. More number of blueberries are sold in a processed form [7, 10].

Although some varieties of blueberries grow wild in Georgia, blueberries are a relatively new, high-yielding agricultural crop, and its growing is increasingly popular. According to the research, this industry has a great growth potential, because after reducing the tea production, thousands of hectares of land (soil pH 4,5 - 5,5), intended for tea plantation, were used for planting blueberries.

Blueberry seedlings were first brought in 2006 from the United States and planted for a sample in Imereti, where the first harvest was gathered in 2009. Today, about 20 varieties of blueberries are introduced in Georgia. They are well adapted to local climatic conditions and, therefore, industrial plantations are being built. The number of crops gathered from a bush is 4.0 to 10.0 kg (harvesting occurs occasionally with a few days intervals). Although the process of commercialization of blueberries has begun in Georgia recently, the export indicator is growing (according to CBI statistical data it was 150,000 USD in 2014, and 215,000 USD- in 2015).

Along with the growth of production volume, it is necessary to develop modern technologies for storage processing of berries, ensuring the storage of the fetus with fewer losses and minimal changes in chemical composition.

The aim of the research was to study the chemical composition of the different varieties of blueberry (organic acids, carbohydrates, phenolic compounds, pectic substances, their antioxidant activity) in the process of ripening of fruit and in the long-term storage of the fetus on the territory of western Georgia.

Materials and methods

The object of research

Was the variety of raw (Mist, O'Neal, Elizabeth, Blueray, Berkeley, Earlyblue, Sunrise, Toro, Duke, Legacy, Chandler,

Spartan, Rekah, Brigittarey, Bluegold, Bluecrop), shockingly frozen (-45°C) and stored in a freezer (-25°C).

Preparation of the sample for the test

Blueberry fruits were first cooled (heat retention) for 1 - 2 hours at less than 10°C, then sorted and stored in different conditions, depending on the duration of storage. The samples were extracted by alcohol (anthocyanins were supplemented with 1% formic acid at 45°C) for the chromatographic analysis. After extraction the samples were filtered, replenished with eluent and centrifuged. Before injecting the analyzed samples were filtered into 0,45-µm membrane filters.

Research Methods

Determination of dry substance in juice with a refractometer - Digital Refractometer # PA202 (Palm Abbe) MISCO;

Determination of pH and titrated acidity with a potentiometer (Mettler Toledo), by AOAC method;

Qualitative and quantitative determination of carbohydrate - HPLC (Waters (USA), 525 pump, 2414 Refractive Index Detector and Carbohydrate column, the mobile phase was 75% acetonitrile (SIGMA-ALDRICH).

Total monomeric anthocyanins were determined by using pH differentiated method - AOAC International Official Method 2005.02.

Antioxidant activity has been determined with respect to stable radical -DDPPH (2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazil) by a free radical 50% inhibition method. DPPH-(Aldrich), LOT#STBD4147V (product of Germany). Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was used for determination of total phenols.

Results and discussion

According to the variety, blueberries are distinguished by a sour taste (Bluecrop, Sunrise, Mist), as sweetish taste (Patriot, Toro), others have a sourish-sweetish one. The color of all the varieties is mainly blue with different shades. The aroma is peculiar to all of them. The size, volume and roundness of a fruit depends on its variety. The largest fruits belong to Chandler (3,8 g) and Berkeley (2,63 g), therefore, the fetal volume is greater. Duke have the smallest fruits (1,04 g) (Table 1).

Blueberry is distinguished by a high content of juice (70-92%). There is a difference between the varieties: the most juicy sort is Mist (92%), while the less juicy variety is Berkeley (70%). Dry substances in the juice slightly vary depending on the variety (about 10% brix); however, Rekah, Sunrise common acidity, sugar-acid index, current acidity pH and Chandler lag behind (8.0; 9.0 respectively), while it is slightly more (11.0%) in Toro. Fruits also differ in acidity content. Bluecrop (0,89%) and Sunrise (0,71%) are in the lead in this regard. The lowest indicator of these features refers to Brigittarey, Toro, Berkeley and Mist (0,38; 0,39; 0,40; 0,41% respectively). Approximately the same regularity was observed when determining the actual acidity (ranges from 3,4 to 4,12). It is logical that the dry substance and acidity ratio of fruit taste characteristics is quite high in most cases (more than 20). As for the most acidic Bluecrop, this characteristic is naturally low (11, 2) (Table 2).

Table 1. Blueberry fruit size, mass, volume, taste, color, smell, roundness index according to the varieties

| Blueberry Name | Blueberry fruits physical and organoleptic characterization | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|-------|------------|-----------------|
| | Bunches Height, mm | Berry size Bunches Width,mm | roundness index RI | Berry mass , g | Berry volume, ml | Color | Taste | Aroma |
| Berkeley | 18,8±0,44 | 13,85±0,33 | 1,36±0,032 | 2,63±0,022 | 2,55±0,032 | Blue | Sweet Sour | Characteristics |
| Bluegold | 14,2±0,33 | 12,5±0,29 | 1,13±0,027 | 1,35±0,011 | 1,35±0,017 | " | " | " |
| Bluecrop | 16,37±0,38 | 13,9±0,33 | 1,18±0,028 | 1,68±0,014 | 1,65±0,021 | " | Sour | " |
| Brigitta-rey | 17,4±0,41 | 13,9±0,33 | 1,25±0,029 | 1,7±0,014 | 1,67±0,021 | " | Sour | " |
| Duke | 12,95±0,30 | 11,1±0,26 | 1,16±0,027 | 1,04±0,009 | 1,04±0,013 | " | Sweet Sour | " |
| Elizabeth | 16,9±0,40 | 13,3±0,31 | 1,27±0,030 | 2,13±0,018 | 1,96±0,025 | " | " | " |
| Misty | 16,8±0,39 | 12,45±0,29 | 1,35±0,032 | 1,92±0,016 | 1,78±0,022 | " | " | " |
| Patriot | 17,88±0,42 | 13,7±0,32 | 1,3±0,031 | 1,86±0,016 | 1,82±0,023 | " | Sweet | " |
| Reka | 13,75±0,32 | 13,6±0,32 | 1,01±0,024 | 1,59±0,014 | 1,54±0,019 | " | Sweet Sour | " |
| Sunrise | 16,44±0,39 | 12,9±0,30 | 1,27±0,030 | 1,44±0,012 | 1,42±0,018 | " | Sour | " |
| Toro | 15,85±0,37 | 12,1±0,28 | 1,3±0,031 | 1,45±0,012 | 1,44±0,018 | " | Sweet | " |
| Chandlery | 15,17±0,36 | 19,78±0,46 | 0,76±0,018 | 3,5±0,030 | 3,8±0,048 | " | Sweet Sour | " |

Tables 2. Blueberry fruit juice ness, Juice brix, Titr., Acidity, Activity acidity, pH, Index brix./t.a

| Blueberry name | Blueberry juice physical and chemical characterization | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|
| | juiceness % | Juice brix, (20 °C)°brix | Correct brix, (20 °C) | Titration acidity by citric acid (pH8.1) % | Index brix./t.a | Activity acidity, pH |
| Berkeley | 70±1,47 | 10,0±0,11 | 10,2±0,11 | 0,40±0,007 | 25,0±0,73 | 3,91±0,08 |
| Bluegold | 75±1,58 | 10,0±0,11 | 10,12±0,11 | 0,59±0,011 | 16,94±0,49 | 3,7±0,08 |
| Bluecrop | 75±1,58 | 10,0±0,11 | 10,18±0,11 | 0,89±0,016 | 11,23±0,33 | 4,0±0,08 |
| Brigitta-rey | 72±1,51 | 10,0±0,11 | 10,08±0,11 | 0,38±0,007 | 26,31±0,76 | 4,02±0,08 |
| Duke | 70±1,47 | 10,0±0,11 | 10,1±0,11 | 0,40±0,007 | 25,0±0,73 | 3,62±0,08 |
| Elizabeth | 80±1,68 | 10,2±0,11 | 10,3±0,11 | 0,63±0,011 | 16,19±0,47 | 3,5±0,07 |
| Misty | 92±1,93 | 10,0±0,11 | 10,08±0,11 | 0,41±0,007 | 24,39±0,71 | 3,52±0,07 |
| Patriot | 82±1,72 | 10,0±0,11 | 10,2±0,11 | 0,45±0,008 | 22,22±0,64 | 3,42±0,07 |
| Reka | 78±1,64 | 8,0±0,09 | 8,1±0,09 | 0,48±0,009 | 16,67±0,48 | 3,58±0,08 |
| Sunrise | 84±1,76 | 9,0±0,10 | 9,12±0,10 | 0,71±0,013 | 12,67±0,37 | 4,12±0,09 |
| Toro | 80±1,68 | 11,0±0,12 | 11,08±0,12 | 0,39±0,007 | 28,21±0,82 | 3,55±0,07 |
| Chandlery | 80±1,68 | 9,0±0,10 | 9,12±0,10 | 0,59±0,011 | 15,25±0,44 | 3,61±0,08 |

The main components of the fetus juice dry substance are carbohydrates. HPLC study of carbohydrates (Fig. 1) showed that the main components are fructose and glucose. Their ratio varies from 0,86 to 1,13 (Table 3). The sucrose trace can be observed in some varieties of blueberry.

Table 3. Quantity content of carbohydrate in blueberries

| Name | Fructose g/kg | Glucose g/kg | Fructose/Glucose | Total sugars g/kg |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Reka | 44,164±0,22 | 48,31±0,24 | 0.91±0,005 | 92,474±0,46 |
| 2 Spartan | 49,46±0,25 | 51,876±0,26 | 0.95±0,005 | 101,336±0,51 |
| 3 Sunrise | 48,062±0,24 | 55,988±0,28 | 0.86±0,004 | 104,05±0,52 |
| 4 Toro | 50,43±0,25 | 52,456±0,26 | 0.96±0,005 | 102,886±0,51 |
| 5 Misty | 43,68±0,22 | 48,55±0,24 | 0.90±0,005 | 92,23±0,46 |
| 6 Duke | 53,652±0,27 | 56,6±0,28 | 0.95±0,005 | 110,252±0,55 |
| 7 Brigitta-rey | 35,91±0,18 | 39,29±0,20 | 0.91±0,005 | 75,2±0,38 |
| 8 Blueery | 41,112±0,21 | 43,902±0,22 | 0.94±0,005 | 85,014±0,43 |
| 9 Legacy | 42,554±0,21 | 42,788±0,21 | 0.99±0,005 | 85,342±0,43 |
| 10 Bluecrop | 51,31±0,26 | 45,254±0,23 | 1.13±0,006 | 96,564±0,48 |
| 11 Bluegold | 39,28±0,20 | 35,844±0,18 | 1.10±0,006 | 75,124±0,38 |
| 12 Chandlery | 48,452±0,24 | 44,6±0,22 | 1.09±0,005 | 93,052±0,47 |
| 13 Onile | 39,67±0,20 | 35,808±0,18 | 1.11±0,006 | 75,478±0,38 |
| 14 Erlibblue | 45,774±0,23 | 53,99±0,27 | 0.85±0,004 | 99,764±0,50 |
| 15 Elizabeth | 43,382±0,22 | 40,764±0,20 | 1.06±0,005 | 84,146±0,42 |
| 16 Berkeley | 49,564±0,25 | 45,992±0,23 | 1.08±0,005 | 95,556±0,48 |




Fig. 1. Blueberry 16 varieties Carbohydrates HPLC, RI Deteqtor, column- Carbohydrate, a motion phase of 75% acetonitrile.

The total content of the anthocyanins is correlated with the color of the fetus (approximately 400 mg / 100g). The lowest number of blueberry fetusis in Rekah (142,21 mg / 100g), while the highest number is in Brigittarey (564,37 mg / 100g).

It should be noted that the shocking frost and the further storage allows keeping the antioxidant content in the fetus almost completely (Fig.2).




Fig. 2. Total content variability of anthocyanins during blueberry foetus storage.

After 9 months of storage the amount of anthocyanins was reduced by 5-7%. No special pattern has been observed in any

of the varieties. The total content of phenolic compounds has also been maintained (Fig.3).




Fig.3. Variability of the total composition of phenolic compounds during fruit storage.

It is also very important that the fetus keeps the antioxidant activity during 9 months of storing (Fig.4).




Fig.4. Variability of antioxidant activity during the storage of blueberry fruits.

Conclusions

The analysis of chemical composition of the blueberry fruit has shown that it was adapted to the soil-climatic conditions of Georgia. Among the studied varieties, Chandler (3,87 ml) and Berkeley (2,55 ml) have the biggest fruits, while the smallest fruits are peculiar to Duke (1,04 ml). The largest amount of carbohydrates was observed in Duke (110.25 g / kg) and Sunrise (104 g / kg), while Brigittarey had the smallest one (75,1). The largest amount of anthocyanins was collected in Brigittarey (564.37 mg / 100g) and Bluegold (463 mg / 100g), while Rekah was characterized by the smallest number (142,2 mg / 100 c). It is noteworthy, that after the shocking freezing, the chemical compounds and the antioxidant activity of the fetus practically were not changed.

The present project has been implemented by financial support of Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (grant AP / 96/13 and grant FR / 335 / 10-160 / 14). All the opinions, presented in this publication, belong to its authors and might not reflect the views of Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation.

References

- Westwood, M.N. Temperate-Zone Pomology. Physiology and Culture, 3rd ed.; Timber Press, Inc.: Portland, OR, USA, 1993.
- Statistics Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Production. Available online: <http://faostat3.fao.org/home/E> (accessed on 15 May 2015).
- Evans, E.A.; Ballen, F.H. An Overview of US Blueberry Production, Trade, and Consumption, with Special Reference to Florida. 2014. UF/IFAS Extension. Available online: <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/fe952> (accessed on 15 May 2015).
- Mainland, C.M.; Frederick, V. Coville and the history of North American highbush blueberry culture. *Int. J. Fruit Sci.* 2012, 12, 4–13.
- Prodorutti, D.; Pertot, I.; Giongo, L.; Gessler, C. Highbush blueberry: Cultivation, protection, breeding and biotechnology. *Eur. J. Plant Sci. Biotechnol.* 2007, 1, 44–56.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K.; Ogden, E.L.; Rowland, L.J.; Vinyard, B. Evaluation of midwinter cold hardiness among 25 rabbiteye blueberry cultivars. *HortScience* 2006, 41, 579–581.
- Brazelton, C. World Blueberry Acreage and Production. North American Blueberry Council. Available online: <http://www.chilealimentos.com/2013/phocadownload/>
- Aprocesados congelados/nabc_2012-world-blueberry-acreage-production.pdf (accessed on 4 May 2015)
- United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. Fruit and tree nuts yearbook tables: Berries: Blueberries. Available online: <http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/fruit-and-tree-nut-data/yearbook-tables.aspx#40875> (accessed on 4 May 2015)
- Connor, A.M.; Luby, J.J.; Tong, C.B.S.; Finn, C.E.; Hancock, J.F. Genotypic and environmental variation in antioxidant activity, total phenolic content, and anthocyanin content among blueberry cultivars. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 2002, 127, 89–97.
- Crisosto, C.H.; Mitcham, E.J.; Kader, A.A. *Perishables Handling #95*; University of California: Los Angeles, CA, USA, 1998.
- Cho, M.J.; Howard, L.R.; Prior, R.L.; Clark, J.R. Flavonoid glycosides and antioxidant capacity of various blackberry, blueberry and red grape genotypes determined by high-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2004, 84, 1771–1782.
- Routray, W.; Orsat, V. Blueberries and their anthocyanins: Factors affecting biosynthesis and properties. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 2011, 10, 303–320.
- Zafra-Stone, S.; Yasmin, T.; Bagchi, M.; Chatterjee, A.; Vinson, J.A.; Bagchi, D. Berry anthocyanins as novel antioxidants in human health and disease prevention. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2007, 51, 675–683.
- Norberto, S.; Silva, S.; Meireles, M.; Faria, A.; Pintado, M.; Calhau, C. Blueberry anthocyanins in health promotion: A metabolic overview. *J. Funct. Foods* 2013, 5, 1518–1528.
- Correa-Betanzo, J.; Allen-Vercoe, E.; McDonald, J.; Schroeter, K.; Corredig, M.; Paliyath, G. Stability and biological activity of wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) polyphenols during simulated in vitro gastrointestinal digestion. *Food Chem.* 2014, 165, 522–531.
- Giacalone, M.; di Sacco, F.; Traupe, I.; Pagnucci, N.; Forfori, F.; Giunta, F. Blueberry polyphenols and neuroprotection. In *Bioactive Nutraceuticals and Dietary Supplements in Neurological and Brain Disease*; Preedy, R.R.W.R., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2015; pp. 17–28.
- Diaconeasa, Z.; Leopold, L.; Rugină, D.; Ayvaz, H.; Socaciu, C. Antiproliferative and antioxidant

- properties of anthocyanin rich extracts from blueberry and blackcurrant juice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, 16, 2352–2365.
18. Ramassamy, C. Emerging role of polyphenolic compounds in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases: A review of their intracellular targets. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2006, 545, 51–64.
 19. Ehlenfeldt, M.K.; Prior, R.L. Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) and phenolic and anthocyanin concentrations in fruit and leaf tissues of highbush blueberry. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2001, 49, 2222–2227.
 20. Moyer, R.A.; Hummer, K.E.; Finn, C.E.; Frei, B.; Wrolstad, R.E. Anthocyanins, phenolics, and antioxidant capacity in diverse small fruits: Vaccinium, rubus, and ribes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2002, 50, 519–525.
 21. Taruscio, T.G.; Barney, D.L.; Exon, J. Content and profile of flavanoid and phenolic acid compounds in conjunction with the antioxidant capacity for a variety of northwest Vaccinium berries. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2004, 52, 3169–3176.
 22. Zadernowski, R.; Naczek, M.; Nesterowicz, J. Phenolic acid profiles in some small berries. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2005, 53, 2118–2124.
 23. Castrejón, A.D.R.; Eichholz, I.; Rohn, S.; Kroh, L.W.; Huyskens-Keil, S. Phenolic profile and antioxidant activity of highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.) during fruit maturation and ripening. *Food Chem.* 2008, 109, 564–572.
 24. Gu, L.; Kelm, M.; Hammerstone, J.F.; Beecher, G.; Cunningham, D.; Vannozzi, S.; Prior, R.L. Fractionation of polymeric procyanidins from lowbush blueberry and quantification of procyanidins in selected foods with an optimized normal-phase HPLC–MS fluorescent detection method. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2002, 50, 4852–4860.
 25. Wu, X.; Kang, J. Blueberries: Major phytochemicals and potential health effects in cardiovascular diseases. In *Berries: Properties, Consumption and Nutrition*; Tuberoso, C., Ed.; Nova Biomedical Books: New York, NY, USA, 2012; pp. 83–104.
 26. Howard, L.; Hagar, T. Berry fruit phytochemicals. In *Berry Fruit: Value Added Products for Health Promotion*; Zhao, Y., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2007; pp. 73–105.
 27. Kalt, W.; Lawand, C.; Ryan, D.A.J.; McDonald, J.E.; Donner, H.; Forney, C.F. Oxygen radical absorbing capacity, anthocyanin and phenolic content of highbush blueberries (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.) during ripening and storage. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 2003, 128, 917–923.
 28. Mazza, G.; Miniati, E. *Anthocyanins in Fruits, Vegetables and Grains*; CRS Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1993.
 29. Gao, L.; Mazza, G. Quantitation and distribution of simple and acylated anthocyanins and other phenolics in blueberries. *J. Food Sci.* 1994, 59, 1057–1059.
 30. Scibisz, I.; Mitek, M. Influence of freezing process and frozen storage on anthocyanin contents of highbush blueberries. *Food Sci. Technol. Qual.* 2007, 5, 231–238.